

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N179	5-Jun-15	10:35	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	68	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	Remarks / Other Obs:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution. Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure. No construction work was conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 around the concerned location during the time of measurement and it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N182	16-Jun-15	10:40	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	68	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.		Possible reason:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution. Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of
								Remarks / Other Obs:	No construction working procedure. No construction work was conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 around the concerned location during the time of measurement and it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N181	9-Jun-15	15:35	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	68	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	Remarks / Other Obs:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution. Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure. No construction work was conducted under Contract HY/2009/19 around the concerned location during the time of measurement and it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.



Contract No. HK/2011/07 Wanchai Development Phase II and Central Wanchai Bypass Sampling, Field Measurement and Testing Work (Stage2) Summary for Notification of Exceedance

Lam Geotechnices Limited

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Depth	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10D513	28-May-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.14	3.19	3.10	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine work was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine work activity was conducted and no exceedance on the subsequent monitoring, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D514	5-Jun-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.80	3.19	3.10	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine work was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine work activity was conducted and no exceedance on the subsequent monitoring, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D515	8-Jun-15	Mid-flood	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	3.10	3.19	3.10	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine work was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine work activity was conducted and no exceedance on the subsequent monitoring, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D516	10-Jun-15	Mid-flood	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.75	3.19	3.10	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine work was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine work activity was conducted and no exceedance on the subsequent monitoring, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D517	17-Jun-15	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Middle	DO(mg/l)	2.77	3.19	3.10	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine work was conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine work activity was conducted and no exceedance on the subsequent monitoring, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.



Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10C630	10-Jun-15	Mid-ebb	C7	DO(mg/l)	4.04	3.02	2.44	Possible reason:	Temporary water pipe midification works for the diverted cooling water supplies system.
				Turbidity	31.36	11.35	12.71	Action taken/ to be taken:	Immediate repeated in-situ measurement had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
				SS	73.50	18.42	27.54	Remarks/ Other Obs:	No marine works was conducted in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station under Contract HY/2009/15 on the monitoring date. While water pipe modification works for the diverted seawater intake was conducted under Contract HY/2010/08 on the monitoring date. Contractor clarified the seawater supplies to respective cooling water intake pump station for Windsor House was temporary suspended. In addition, no further exceedance was recorded on 17 July 2015 after the resumption of water supplies. In view of the above, the respective cooling water intake was considered not affected by project works.