

Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N176	16-Dec-14	15:10	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	72	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	Possible reason:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure. Welding works under Contract HY/2009/19 was conducted around the concerned location during the time of measurement. It was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10N175	11-Dec-14	15:06	M6 - HK Baptist Church Henrietta Secondary School	70	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	65	Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken:	Traffic nearby was observed during monitoring and was considered as the major noise contribution. Repeat measurement to confirm result and reviewed the trend of noise measurement. Analysis of contractor's working procedure.
								Remarks / Other Obs:	Noise barrier installation utilizing crane lorry and cherry picker under Contract HY/2009/19 were conducted around the concerned location during the time of measurement. It was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to project but to traffic noise nearby.

Lam Geotechnices Limited

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10C627	1-Dec-14	Mid-flood	P4	DO(mg/l)	5.55	3.36	2.73	Possible reason:	Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of water quality monitoring station.
				Turbidity	3.55	9.10	10.25		Immediate repeated in-situ measurement had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with Contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
				SS	18.50	15.00	22.13		Despite levelling of rockmound of caisson seawall was conducted under contract HK/2012/08 on the monitoring date, Contractor's mitigation measures including the use of silt curtain was implemented and the silt screen installed around intake location was generally in place. In view of the exceedance was not continuous, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.



Lam Geotechnices Limited

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_W5188	22-Dec-14	Mid-flood	WSD19	DO(mg/l)	6.49	3.66	2.73	Possible reason:	Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of water quality monitoring station.
				Turbidity	7.97	8.04		Action taken/ to be taken:	Immediate repeated in-situ measurement to confirm the exceedances. Checking with Contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
				SS	13.50	13.00	14.43		Despite formation of rockbund was conducted under contract HK/2012/08 on the monitoring date, Contractor mitigation measures including the use of silt curtain was generally in place. Silt screen at monitoring station was generally in order. In view of the exceedance was not continous, it was considered that the exceedance was not project related.

Lam Geotechnices Limited

Ref no.	Date	Tidal	Location	Depth	Parameters (Unit)	Measured	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action	
X_10D503					DO(mg/l)	4.96	5.36		Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
										Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with Contractor works and review previous monitoring data.
									Remarks/ Other Obs:	No marine works were conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine activities were conducted, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D504	1-Dec-14	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SE	Bottom	DO(mg/l)	4.61	5.36	5.35	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checking with Contractor works and review previous monitoring data. No marine works were conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine activities were conducted and the exceedance was not continous, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.
X_10D505	1-Dec-14	Mid-ebb	Ex-WPCWA SW	Bottom	DO(mg/l)	4.61	4.71	4.63	Possible reason:	Possible in relation to the upstream organic discharge.
									Action taken/ to be taken: Remarks/ Other Obs:	Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitorina. Checkina with Contractor works and review previous monitorina data. No marine works were conducted at Ex-WPCWA on the monitoring date and upstream discharge at the
										concerned location were consistently observed. In view of no marine activities were conducted and the exceedance was not continous, it was considered the exceedance was not related to Project.