

| Ref. No. | Date | Time | Location | Construction Noise Level | Unit | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-------|---|--------------------------|-------------|---|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10N101 | 16-Oct-12 | 15:35 | M6 - HK baptist Church henrietta Secondary School | 71 | Leq(30-min) | when one documented complaint was | | Possible reason: | Socketed H-piling and drilling activities and traffic nearby were observed during monitoring. Traffic noise contributed as a major noise source during monitoring. |
| | | | · | | | received. | | Action taken / to be taken: | Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Review the basline noise level at this monitoring station. |
| | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | Although socketed H-piling and drilling activities for Contract no. HY/2009/19 were conducted during the measurement, it was observed that traffic noise was a major noise source during monitoring; it is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project but to traffic noise nearby. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Li | mit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|--|---|
| X_10C437 | | Mid-Flood | | DO(mg/L) | 5.39 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.04 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 12.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| V 400400 | 4.0-4.40 | Mid-Flood | 00 | DO(===/L) | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.70 | Danaile la secono | A |
| X_10C438 | 4-Oct-12 | IVIId-F100d | C8 | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 4.39 9.52 | 3.36 9.10 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 8.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C439 | 6-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C8 | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 4.04 11.23 | 3.36 9.10 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 14.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C440 | 6-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C3 | DO(mg/L) | 3.29 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.35 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, type 3 dredging at east bridge and trimming at HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. |
| | | | | | | | | | Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than C3, no exceedance was recorded. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | ss | 4.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lim | it Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------------|---------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10C441 | 6-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 2.91 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.72 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, type 3 dredging at east bridge and trimming at HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. |
| | | | | | | | | | Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than C4w, no exceedance was recorded. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 5.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |
| X 10C442 | 9 Oct 12 | Mid-Ebb | C5w | DO(mg/L) | 5.38 | 3.36 | 2.72 | Possible reason: | Trapping of unknown particles inside the silt screen |
| X_10C442 | 8-OCI-12 | MIG-EDD | Cow | Turbidity | 22.60 | 9.10 | - | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checking with Contractor's records, no marine works was conducted on that day. Reviewing the results at the monitoing stations nearer than C5w, no exceedance was recorded. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 54.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. The water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition. The exceedance was possible in relation to the trapping of unknown particles inside the silt screen. Contractor was reminded the water quality near to the intake should be provided with sufficient protection. The exceedance was considered not project-related. |
| X_10C443 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C9 | DO(mg/L) | 3.51 | 3.36 | - | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.75 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 20.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lin | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10C444 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | | DO(mg/L) | 2.27 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 2.79 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | ss | 11.0 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |
| X 10C445 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C3 | DO(mg/L) | 2.86 | 3.36 | 2 73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation of the water quality monitoring station |
| 7100+10 | 10 001 12 | 100u | | Turbidity | 3.11 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 16.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the silt screen and silt curtain was in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered no project related. |
| X 10C446 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C4e | DO(mg/L) | 3.29 | 3.36 | 2 73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation of the water quality monitoring station |
| 7 _ 100 110 | .5 500 12 | | | Turbidity | 6.38 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt |
| | | | | ss | 13.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lim | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10C447 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 3.22 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Natural variation of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.78 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 11.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |
| X_10C448 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C1 | DO(mg/L) | 3.35 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.73 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt |
| | | | | ss | 9.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition during monitoring, and the overall water quality at other monitoring stations in the Victoria Harbour is closed to or exceed the Action Level as it is at the interchange period of Wet and Dry Season, the exceedance was considered not project related. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lin | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| X_10C449 | | Mid-Ebb | C5e | DO(mg/L) | 5.35 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Inadequate protection around the intake and defective silt curtain |
| | | | | Turbidity | 18.43 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Muddy water quality appearance inside and outside silt screen were observed during |
| | | | | SS | 22.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | | Checking with Contractor works, there were rock filling activities near temporary seawall at WCR2. Contractor's daily records and independent diver's report were also checked. The frame type silt screen at intake and silt curtain along the western seawall were deployed. Both silt screen and silt curtains were in proper condition in their daily inspection and independent diver's report. ET recommended that the contractor should review the working method and adequacy of mitigation measures. |
| | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. Although the Contractor's records have shown a well maintained silt curtain and silt screen, muddy water was still observed around the intake during monitoring. The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action Level after stopping of filling works during the flood tide. This shows possible defects at the silt curtain and that protection around the intake is inadequate to protect the sensitive recevier during the filling activities. |
| X_10C450 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C5w | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 5.28 16.30 | 3.36 9.10 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Inadequate protection around the intake and defective silt curtair Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Muddy water quality appearance inside and outside silt screen were observed during |
| | | | | ss | 25.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | | Checking with Contractor works, there were rock filling activities near temporary seawall at WCR2. Contractor's daily records and independent diver's report were also checked. The frame type silt screen at intake and silt curtain along the western seawall were deployed. Both silt screen and silt curtains were in proper condition in their daily inspection and independent diver's report. |
| | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | ET recommended that the contractor should review the working method and adequacy of mitigation measures. ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. Although the Contractor's records have shown a well maintained silt curtain and silt screen, muddy water was still observed around the intake during monitoring. The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action Level after stopping of filling works during the flood tide. This shows possible defects at the silt curtain and that protection around the intake is inadequate to protect the sensitive recevier during the filling activities. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured Ac | tion Level imit | ו פעבו | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| X 10C451 | | Mid-Flood | | DO(mg/L) | 4.19 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| / | .0 00.12 | | | Turbidity | 13.20 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | ss | 16.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X 10C452 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C8 | DO(mg/L) | 4.55 | 3.36 | 2 73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| X_100432 | 10 000 12 | Wild Tilodd | 00 | Turbidity | 10.50 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 12.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C453 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C3 | DO(mg/L) | 3.22 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.25 | 9.10 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming work at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the floating debris inside silt screen was found and removed immediately after inspection. The silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition during water monitoring. |
| | | | | ss | 6.0 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring.In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10C454 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 2.66 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.08 | 9.10 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt |
| | | | | SS | 3.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | curtain were observed in proper condition. No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure A | rtion Level imit | Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-------|--|---|
| X 10C455 | | Mid-Ebb | C9 | DO(mg/L) | 5.33 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| X_100400 | 10 000 12 | IVIIG EBB | | Turbidity | 9.86 | 9.10 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 14.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C456 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C8 | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 4.96 9.21 | 3.36 9.10 | - | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | ss | 11.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X 10C457 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C4e | DO(mg/L) | 3.32 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | | Turbidity | 4.70 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | ss | 7.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10C458 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 2.75 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | | Turbidity | 1.50 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | SS | 2.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured A | ction Leve Lim | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|---------|----------|-------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---|
| X_10C459 | | Mid-Ebb | C5e | DO(mg/L) | 3.85 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Inadequate protection around the intake |
| | | | | Turbidity | 17.90 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Muddy water quality appearance inside and outside silt screen were observed during monitoring. |
| | | | | SS | 36.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | | Checking with Contractor works, there were rock filling activities near temporary seawall at WCR2. Contractor's daily records and independent diver's report were also checked. The frame type silt screen at intake and silt curtain along the western seawall were deployed. Both silt screen and silt curtains were in proper condition in their daily inspection and independent diver's report. |
| | | | | | | | | | ET recommended that seizing of work until remedial works are properly implemented. |
| | | | | | | | | | ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. |
| | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | Although the Contractor's records have shown a well maintained silt curtain and silt screen, muddy water was still observed inside the sheet piles and silt screen during monitoring. The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action Level after seizing of filling works during the flood tide. This shows that protection around the intake is inadequate to protect the sensitive recevier during the filling activities. |
| X_10C460 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C5w | DO(mg/L) | 4.15 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Inadequate protection around the intake |
| | | | | Turbidity | 12.38 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Muddy water quality appearance inside and outside silt screen were observed during |
| | | | | SS | 15.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | | Checking with Contractor works, there were rock filling activities near temporary seawall at WCR2. Contractor's daily records and independent diver's report were also checked. The frame type silt screen at intake and silt curtain along the western seawall were deployed. Both silt screen and silt curtains were in proper condition in their daily inspection and independent diver's report. |
| | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | ET recommended that seizing of work until remedial works are properly implemented. ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. Although the Contractor's records have shown a well maintained silt curtain and silt screen, muddy water was still observed inside the sheet piles and silt screen during monitoring. The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action Level after seizing of filling works during the flood tide. This shows that protection around the intake is inadequate to protect the sensitive recevier during the filling activities. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Limi | it Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|---|
| X_10C461 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C9 | DO(mg/L) | 5.11 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.32 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 13.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C462 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 3.35 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | | Turbidity | 2.49 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt |
| | | | | SS | 7.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level, the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10C463 | 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C5e | DO(mg/L) | 4.77 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 12.33 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checking with Contractor's records, filling in WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 15.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain for filling were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not project related. |
| X_10C464 | 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C5w | DO(mg/L) | 4.90 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.03 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checking with Contractor's records, filling in WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 11.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain for filling were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not project related. |



| Date | Tidal | Location | raiameters (Unit) | <u>Meas</u> ure(A | ction Leve Lim | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|-----------|------------------------|--|---|--|------------------|---|---|---|
| 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C4w | DO(mg/L) | 2.84 | 3.36 | | | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | Turbidity | 2.90 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was |
| | | | | | | | taken: | detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. |
| | | | SS | 4.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In |
| | | | | | | | | view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level, the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C7 | DO(mg/L) | 3.14 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | Turbidity | 1.67 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Checking the work conducted near the monitoring station,TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | ss | 3.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C5w | DO(mg/L) | 4.42 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Silty water was observed discharging from water pipe into Well B during monitoring |
| | | | Turbidity | 18.50 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Silty water was observed discharging from water pipe into Well B during monitoring. 21.65 NTU turbidity was recorded outside the silt screen. Contractor immediately removed the pipe from Well B. ET reminded Contractor should ensure the water pumping into Well for cooling purpose should not deteriorate the water quality of intake. ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. |
| | | | SS | 29.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive water monitoring on 22 Oct. The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action |
| | | | | | | | | Level after removal of water pipe. |
| 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C9 | DO(mg/L) | 5.75 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | Turbidity ' | 9.82 | 9.10 | 10.25 | | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. |
| | | | SS | 12.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | taken: Remarks / Other Obs: | Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| | 17-Oct-12 20-Oct-12 | 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood | 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C5w | Turbidity SS 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) Turbidity SS 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C5w DO(mg/L) Turbidity SS SS DO(mg/L) Turbidity | Turbidity 2.90 | Turbidity 2.90 9.10 SS 4.50 15.00 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.14 3.36 Turbidity 1.67 9.10 SS 3.00 15.00 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C5w DO(mg/L) 4.42 3.36 Turbidity 18.50 9.10 SS 29.50 15.00 DO(mg/L) 5.75 3.36 Turbidity 9.82 9.10 | Turbidity 2.90 9.10 10.25 SS 4.50 15.00 22.13 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.14 3.36 2.73 Turbidity 1.67 9.10 10.25 SS 3.00 15.00 22.13 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C5w DO(mg/L) 4.42 3.36 2.73 Turbidity 18.50 9.10 10.25 SS 29.50 15.00 22.13 | Turbidity 2.90 9.10 10.25 Action taken / to be taken: SS 4.50 15.00 22.13 Remarks / Other Obs: 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.14 3.36 2.73 Possible reason: Turbidity 1.67 9.10 10.25 Action taken / to be taken: SS 3.00 15.00 22.13 Remarks / Other Obs: 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C5w DO(mg/L) 4.42 3.36 2.73 Possible reason: Turbidity 18.50 9.10 10.25 Action taken / to be taken: SS 29.50 15.00 22.13 Remarks / Other Obs: 20-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C9 DO(mg/L) 5.75 3.36 2.73 Possible reason: Turbidity 9.82 9.10 10.25 Action taken / to be taken: |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lim | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------|--|
| X_10C469 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C8 | DO(mg/L) | 4.63 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.37 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances |
| | | | | SS | 12.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view |
| | | | | | | | | | that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by |
| | | | | | | | | | RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related |
| | | | | | | | | | marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was |
| | | | | | | | | | considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the |
| | | | | | | | | | outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| | | | | | | | | | Salidate from the morning station and flot folded to the Froject World. |
| X 10C470 | 22 Oct 12 | Mid-Flood | Co | DO(mg/L) | 4 27 | 2.26 | 2.72 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| A_10C470 | 22-Oct-12 | IVIIG-FIOOG | Co | Turbidity | 4.37 10.93 | 3.36 9.10 | | Action taken / to be | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.93 | 9.10 | 10.25 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | | | | | | taken: | Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 6.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view |
| | | | | | | | | | that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by |
| | | | | | | | | | RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related |
| | | | | | | | | | marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was |
| | | | | | | | | | considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the |
| | | | | | | | | | outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C471 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C9 | DO(mg/L) | 4.53 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.46 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. |
| | | | | | | | | taken: | Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | SS | 6.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view |
| | | | | | | | | | that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by |
| | | | | | | | | | RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related |
| | | | | | | | | | marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was |
| | | | | | | | | | considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the |
| | | | | | | | | | outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C472 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C3 | DO(mg/L) | 3.33 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality |
| | | | | | | | | | monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 5.56 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was |
| | | | | | | | | taken: | detected during DO monitoring. Checking the work conducted near the |
| | | | | | | | | | monitoring station, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that |
| | | | | | | | | | day. |
| | | | | | | | | | Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the silt screen and silt |
| | | | | | | | | | curtain were observed in proper condition. |
| | | | | SS | 2.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In |
| | | | | | | | | | view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine |
| | | | | | | | | | work site were well below the Action level, the silt screen and silt curtain were in |
| | | | | | | | | | proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was |
| | | | | | | | | | considered not related to Project works. |
| | | | | | | | | | |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | ion Leve Lim | it Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|--|---|
| X_10C473 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C5e | DO(mg/L) | 3.71 | 3.36 | | Possible reason: | Trapping of silty water in the intake |
| | | | | Turbidity | 12.00 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Notification of exceedances were immediately provided to Contractor of HK/2009/02, RE and IEC when the exceedances were recorded. Muddy dispersion into the Well as a result of the rockfilling in WCR2 was observed during inspection walk on 25 Oct. ET recommended that gaps at temporary sheet pile at Well B should be sealed up and the turbid water inside well should be treated to improve the water quality in the well. |
| | | | | | | | | | Daily water quality monitoring at C5e was conducted on 26 Oct 12 under Event and Action Plan. The turbidity of C5e was 7.38 NTU on 26 Oct 12 which is below Action level. |
| | | | | | | | | | ET will keep in view of the trend of water monitoring data and mitigation measures for further deterioration of water quality in WCR2 area and the effectiveness of the remedial measures. |
| | | | | ss | 31.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | The turbidity and SS concentration has returned to below the Action Level after the improvement of condition of temporary sheet pile at Well. This shows that protection around the intake is inadequate to protect the sensitive recevier during the filling activities. |
| X_10C474 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C9 | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 5.45 13.95 | 3.36 9.10 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | ss | 24.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C475 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C8 | DO(mg/L) Turbidity | 4.58 13.35 | 3.36 9.10 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 22.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |



| Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure Ac | tion Leve Lin | nit Level | Follow-up action | |
|-----------|----------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C2 | DO(mg/L) | 4.34 | 3.36 | | | Accumulation of floating debris near monitoring station |
| | | | Turbidity | 5.95 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. According to the information reported by Contractor HK/2010/06 and HK/2009/01 on 25 Oct 2012, pile head breaking under HK/2010/06 and filling at HKCEC water channel under HK/2009/01 were conducted on that day. Checking with the Contractor and RSS daily records, the floating debris inside silt screen was found and removed immediately after inspection. The silt screen and silt curtain were observed in proper condition during water monitoring |
| | | | SS | 16.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C7 | DO(mg/L) | 3.05 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | Turbidity | 3.86 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 27 Oct, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. |
| | | | SS | 3.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C7 | DO(mg/L) | 3.13 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality |
| | | | Turbidity | 3.76 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 27 Oct, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were |
| | | | SS | 4.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | in proper condition on that day. No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt curtains for filling works were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| | 27-Oct-12 27-Oct-12 | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C2 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C2 DO(mg/L) Turbidity Turbidity 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) Turbidity SS 27-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 DO(mg/L) Turbidity Turbidity | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C2 DO(mg/L) 4.34 Turbidity 5.95 SS 16.00 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.05 Turbidity 3.86 SS 3.50 27-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 DO(mg/L) 3.13 Turbidity 3.76 | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C2 DO(mg/L) 4.34 3.36 Turbidity 5.95 9.10 SS 16.00 15.00 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.05 3.36 Turbidity 3.86 9.10 SS 3.50 15.00 27-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 DO(mg/L) 3.13 3.36 Turbidity 3.76 9.10 | 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C2 DO(mg/L) 4.34 3.36 2.73 Turbidity 5.95 9.10 10.25 SS 16.00 15.00 22.13 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb C7 DO(mg/L) 3.05 3.36 2.73 Turbidity 3.86 9.10 10.25 SS 3.50 15.00 22.13 27-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 DO(mg/L) 3.13 3.36 2.73 Turbidity 3.76 9.10 10.25 | DO(mg/L) 4.34 3.36 2.73 Possible reason: |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Leve Limi | it Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------|------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10C479 | 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | C8 | DO(mg/L) | 4.22 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 11.18 | 9.10 | | Action taken / to be | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. |
| | | | | | | | | taken: | Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | SS | 9.50 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |
| X_10C480 | 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C8 | DO(mg/L) | 4.20 | 3.36 | 2.73 | Possible reason: | Accumulation of unknown particles from nearby outfall |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.31 | 9.10 | 10.25 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated measurement was conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with Contractor that no marine works were performed that day. |
| | | | | ss | 11.00 | 15.00 | 22.13 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that reclamation work by contractor HY/2009/11 was confirmed completed by RSS on 4 Jan 2012 and contractor of HY/2009/19 confirmed that no related marine work was performed during time of monitoring, the exceedance was considered to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from the outfalls near monitoring station and not related to the Project works. |

| lam | |
|-----|-------------------------|
| - | Lam Geotechnices Limite |

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| X_W342 | 28-Sep-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 5.11 | 3.17 | 2.63 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to cleaning of screen panels at Quarry Bay WSD intake |
| | | | | Turbidity | 11.75 | 10.01 | 11.54 | Action taken / to be taken: | was recorded on 28 Sep 2012. Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor's works on 28 Sep, no marine work was conducted. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD 17, no |
| | | | | | | | | | exceedance was recorded. Checking with the contractor's record, cleaning screen panel at Quarry Bay WSD intake was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 21.00 | 16.26 | 19.74 | Remarks / Other Obs: | The exceedances was possibly due to cleaning of screen panels at the WSD intake. Materials from the cleaning of screen panels was unavoidably collected during monitoring. The exceedance was considered as not project related. |
| X_W343 | 2-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD9 | DO (mg/L) | 5.59 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 6.89 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | The tidal was moving westward. Checking with the contractor's record, no marine work was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 14.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Considering no marine work was conducted on that day, the exceedance was possibly caused by natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station. The exceedance was considered as not project related. |
| X_W344 | 2-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 5.69 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to cleaning of screen panels at Quarry Bay WSD intake was recorded on 2 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.43 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor's works on 2 Oct, no marine work was conducted. |
| | | | | | | | | | Checking with the contractor's record, cleaning screen panel at Quarry Bay WSD intake was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 19.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | The exceedances was possibly due to cleaning of screen panels at the WSD intake. Materials from the cleaning of screen panels was unavoidably collected during monitoring. The exceedance was considered as not project related. |
| X_W345 | 2-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.48 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 2.42 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 2 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 5.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W346 | 2-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) Turbidity | 3.55 2.96 | 3.66 8.04 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 2 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 8.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|
| X_W347 | 2-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 5.26 | 3.66 | | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 13.13 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving eastward. Checking with contractor's works on 2 Oct, type 3 dredging under Expo Drive East Bridge and trimming |
| | | | | | | | | | work at HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD 19, no exceedance was recorded. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 22.00 | 13.00 | | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W348 | 4-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 4.92 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 19.08 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Checking with contractor's |
| | | | | | | | | | works on 4 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD 17, no exceedance was recorded. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 31.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W349 | 4-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.61 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.00 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 4 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain |
| | | | | | | | | | were in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 7.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen and |
| | | | | | | | | | silt curtain were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not |
| X W350 | 6-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.28 | 3.66 | 3,28 | Possible reason: | related to Project works. Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | () / | | | | | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the |
| | | | | Turbidity | 4.74 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking |
| | | | | | | | | ianeii. | with contractor's works on 6 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | | | | | | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 10.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | were in proper condition on that day. No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not |
| | | | | | | | | | related to Project works. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| X_W351 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 5.29 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.95 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's |
| | | | | | | | | | works on 8 Oct, no marine work was conducted. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD 17, no exceedance was recorded. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 10.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W352 | 10-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.23 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.17 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 10 Oct, no marine work was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 8.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain No marine work was conducted on that day. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X W353 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.57 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 2.19 | 8.04 | | Action taken / to be | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 3.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | taken: Remarks / Other Obs: | exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 13 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no |
| | | | | | | | | | odour nuisance was noted during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W354 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 4.81 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.98 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the |
| | | | | | | | | taken: | exceedances. Checking with contractor's works on 13 Oct, type 2 dredging at Expo Drive East Bridge and trimming work at HKCEC water channel were |
| | | | | | | | | | conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 20.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | condition on that day. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W355 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.58 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 3.12 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 13 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 4.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour nuisance was noted during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |

| <u>lam</u> | Lam Geoted | chnices Lii | mit |
|------------|------------|-------------|-----|
| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Lo |
| X_W356 | | Mid-Flood | W |

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| X_W356 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) Turbidity | 5.69 10.00 | 3.66 8.04 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's works on 13 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 13.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedance was considered not related to Proejct works. |
| X_W357 | 13-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 4.54 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 8.48 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving eastward. Checking with contractor's works on 13 Oct, type 2 dredging at Expo Drive East Bridge and trimming work at HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 13.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD19 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W358 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 6.34 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 8.14 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's works on 15 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 15.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedance was considered not related to Proejct works. |
| X_W359 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 4.07 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to cleaning of screen panels at WSD intake was recorded on 15 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | Turbidity | 4.02 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor's works on 15 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted. Checking with the contractor's record, cleaning screen panel at WSD intake |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 14.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | was conducted on that dav. The exceedances was possibly due to cleaning of screen panels at the WSD intake. Materials from the cleaning of screen panels was unavoidably collected during monitoring. The exceedance was considered as not project related. |
| X_W360 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 2.71 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide |
| | | | | Turbidity | 1.66 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checked with Contractor's work on 15 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 12.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not project related. |

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| X_W361 | 15-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 5.25 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.12 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving eastward. Checking with contractor's works on 15 Oct,dredging at Expo Drive East Bridge and trimming work at HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 15.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and WSD19 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W362 | 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 5.32 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 12.50 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving eastward. Checking with contractor's works on 17 Oct, rockfilling of trimming work at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 13.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W363 | 17-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) Turbidity | 3.46 3.49 | 3.66 8.04 | | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 17 Oct, rockfilling in WCR2 was conducted on |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 4.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W364 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 5.18 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.88 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Checking with contractor's works on 20 Oct, rockfilling at east bridge and HKCEC water channel were conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 16.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure | Action Leve | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|
| X_W365 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | | DO (mg/L) | 5.76 | 3.66 | | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 11.35 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's works on 20 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 16.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedance was considered not related to Proejct works. |
| X_W366 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 4.73 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.70 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Checking with contractor's works on 22 Oct, trimming at HKCEC water channel was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on 22 Oct 12. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD19, no exceedance was recorded. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 22.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was observed in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W367 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.25 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 2.91 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 22 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 20.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour nuisance was noted during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_W368 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 4.81 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 11.08 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's works on 22 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 15.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedance was considered not related to Proejct works. |
| X_W369 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD17 | DO (mg/L) | 5.04 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water |
| | | | | Turbidity | 10.19 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | quality monitoring station Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving westward. Confirmed with contractor's works on 25 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 11.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | Since WSD17 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedance was considered not related to Proejct works. |
| X_W370 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 3.90 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 5.27 | 8.04 | 9.49 | Action taken / to be taken: | Confirmed with contractor's works on 25 Oct, rockfilling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 13.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. |



| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Parameters (Unit) | Measure / | Action LeveL | imit Level | Follow-up action | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------------------------|---|
| X_W371 | 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 4.40 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.34 | 8.04 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. Confirmed with contractor's works on 27 Oct, filling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD21, no exceedance was recorded |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 5.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W372 | 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | WSD21 | DO (mg/L) | 4.11 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 6.64 | 8.04 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Confirmed with contractor's works on 27 Oct, filling at WCR2 was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. Reviewing the results at the monitoring stations nearer than WSD21, no exceedance was recorded. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 13.50 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | No further exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt screen was in proper condition, the exceedances was considered not project related. |
| X_W373 | 27-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | WSD19 | DO (mg/L) | 5.69 | 3.66 | 3.28 | Possible reason: | Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station |
| | | | | Turbidity | 9.17 | 8.04 | | Action taken / to be taken: | Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. The tidal was moving eastward. Checking with contractor's works on 27 Oct,rock filling at east bridge was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen was in proper condition on that day. |
| | | | | Suspended Solid | 12.00 | 13.00 | 14.43 | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and WSD19 was located at the upstream of the Project, the exceedances was considered not project related. |

Ref no. Date Tidal Location Depth Parameters (Unit) Measured Action Level Limit Level Follow-up action X 10D119 28-Sep-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (mg/L) Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 28 Sep 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D120 28-Sep-12 Mid Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (ma/L) 3.35 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 28 Sep 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not Remarks / Other Obs: related to Project works. X 10D121 2-Oct-12 Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (mg/L) 3.58 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 2 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D122 2-Oct-12 Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (mg/L) 3.64 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 2 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D123 2-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Middle 3.16 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: DO (mg/L) Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 2 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D124 2-Oct-12 Ex-WPCWA SE Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert Mid-Ebb Middle DO (mg/L) 4.15 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted Action taken / to be taken: during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 2 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D125 4-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 Middle DO (mg/L) 3.78 3.87 3.09 Possible reason: Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor inspection's record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not related to Project works.

Ref no. Date Tidal Location Depth Parameters (Unit) Measured Action Level Limit Level Follow-up action X 10D126 4-Oct-12 Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (mg/L) 3.73 Possible reason: near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 4 Oct 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not Remarks / Other Obs: related to Project works. Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SE X 10D127 4-Oct-12 Middle DO (mg/L) 3.42 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted Action taken / to be taken: during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 4 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D128 4-Oct-12 Ex-WPCWA SW Mid-Ebb Middle DO (mg/L) 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert 3.25 3.84 near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 4 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D129 4-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Middle 4.26 Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert DO (mg/L) 3.37 3.61 Possible reason: near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 4 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D130 6-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 Middle DO (mg/L) 3.56 3.87 Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station 3.09 Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor inspection's record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not related to Project works. X 10D131 6-Oct-12 Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (mg/L) 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: 3.36 near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted Action taken / to be taken: during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 6 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Depth | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---|--|
| X_10D132 | 6-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.53 | 4.26 | 3.61 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 6 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10D133 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Surface | DO (mg/L) | 3.55 | 3.84 | 3.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| X 10D134 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Surface | DO (mg/L) | 3.86 | 4.26 | 2.61 | Possible reason: | related to Project works. Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| X_10D134 | 8-OCI-12 | IVIIQ-FIOOG | EX-WPCWA SE | Surface | DO (mg/L) | 3.80 | 4.26 | 3.01 | Possible reason: | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10D135 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | C7 | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.65 | 3.87 | 3.09 | Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: | Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken. | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, |
| | | | | | | | | | | TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | inspection's record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the |
| | | | | | | | | | | accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not |
| | | | | | | | | | | related to Project works. |
| X_10D136 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Bottom | DO (mg/L) | 3.96 | 4.71 | 4.63 | Possible reason: | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| | | | | | | | | | rtemano / Other Obs. | related to Project works. |
| X_10D137 | 8-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Bottom | DO (mg/L) | 3.93 | 5.36 | 5.35 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| | | | | | | | I | | Action taken / to be taken: | near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken. | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| | | | | | | | | | | related to Project works. |

Ref no. Date Tidal Location Depth Parameters (Unit) Measured Action Level Limit Level Follow-up action X 10D138 8-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (mg/L) 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D139 8-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (ma/L) 3,46 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 8 Oct 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not Remarks / Other Obs: related to Project works. X 10D140 10-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C6 Middle DO (mg/L) 2.35 3.13 2 Possible reason: Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor inspection's record, the silt curtain was in proper condition. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that the silt screen was in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not related to Project works. X 10D141 10-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 Middle DO (mg/L) 2.32 3.87 3.09 Possible reason: Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor inspection's record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging Remarks / Other Obs: work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not related to Project works. Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SW X 10D142 10-Oct-12 Middle DO (mg/L) 2.33 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 10 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SE X 10D143 10-Oct-12 Middle DO (mg/L) 4.26 Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert 2.68 3.61 Possible reason: near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 10 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D144 15-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Middle 3.79 3.84 DO (mg/L) 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted Action taken / to be taken: during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 15 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.

Ref no. Date Tidal Location Depth Parameters (Unit) Measured Action Level Limit Level Follow-up action X 10D145 15-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (mg/L) 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 15 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D146 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Surface DO (ma/L) 3.32 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 17 Oct 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not Remarks / Other Obs: related to Project works. Ex-WPCWA SE X 10D147 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Surface DO (mg/L) 3.26 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 17 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D148 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Middle DO (mg/L) 3.15 3.87 3.09 Possible reason: Accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. Checked with Contractor's works, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checked with Contractor inspection's record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that the silt curtain and silt screen were in proper condition and no dredging work was conducted on that day. The exceedance was possibly to be caused from the accumulation of particles discharged from outfalls near monitoring station and not related to Project works. X 10D149 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW DO (mg/L) 3.82 4.71 4.63 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 17 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D150 17-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Bottom DO (mg/L) 3.30 5.36 5.35 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 17 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.

| Ref no. | Date | Tidal | Location | Depth | Parameters (Unit) | Measured | Action Level | Limit Level | Follow-up action | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| X_10D151 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.76 | 3.84 | 3.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works |
| | | | | | | | | | B 1 (0) 01 | undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 20 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10D152 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.77 | 4.26 | 3.61 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works |
| | | | | | | | | | | undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 20 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X 10D153 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.56 | 3.84 | 3 73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| 7_102.00 | 20 001 12 | 255 | | ·····au.o | 20 (g/2) | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 1 0001010 10000111 | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 20 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X 10D154 | 20-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.19 | 4.26 | 3.61 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| | | | | | | | - | | | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 20 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10D155 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 2.80 | 3.84 | 3.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| | | | | | | | | | | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 22 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| | | | | | | | | | | related to Project works. |
| X_10D156 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 2.44 | 4.26 | 3.61 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 22 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| X 10D157 | 22-Oct-12 | Mid-Ebb | Ex-WPCWA SE | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 4.00 | 4.26 | 3.61 | Possible reason: | related to Project works. Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert |
| 7_100107 | 22 001 12 | WIIG EDD | EX WI OWN OF | Wildaic | DO (mg/L) | 4.00 | 4.20 | 0.01 | 1 COSIDIC TOUCOTI. | near monitoring station |
| | | | | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works |
| | | | | | | | | | B 1 (0) 01 | undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 22 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. |
| X_10D158 | 25-Oct-12 | Mid-Flood | Ex-WPCWA SW | Middle | DO (mg/L) | 3.56 | 3.84 | 3.73 | Possible reason: | Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station |
| 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | Action taken / to be taken: | Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted |
| | | | | | | | | | | during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works |
| | | | | | | | | | | undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 25 Oct 2012. |
| | | | | | | | | | Remarks / Other Obs: | In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not |
| L | 1 | 1 | I | 1 | 1 | 1 | | l | 1 | related to Project works. |

Ref no. Date Tidal Location Depth Parameters (Unit) Measured Action Level Limit Level Follow-up action X 10D159 25-Oct-12 Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (mg/L) 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 25 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D160 25-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW Middle DO (ma/L) 3.25 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 25 Oct 2012. In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not Remarks / Other Obs: related to Project works. X 10D161 25-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SE Middle DO (mg/L) 3.18 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 25 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D162 27-Oct-12 Mid-Flood C7 Middle DO (mg/L) 3.08 3.87 3.09 Possible reason: Natural variation or changes of water quality in the vicinity of the water quality monitoring station Action taken / to be taken: Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 27 Oct, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. Remarks / Other Obs: No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the water quality at monitoring stations located nearest the marine work site were well below the Action level and the silt curtains for filling works were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, it was considered not related to Project Mid-Flood Ex-WPCWA SE X 10D163 27-Oct-12 Middle DO (mg/L) 3.72 4.26 3.61 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the accumulation of organic particles discharged from culvert near monitoring station Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted Action taken / to be taken: during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 27 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works. X 10D164 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Middle 3.87 3.09 Possible reason: Possible in relation to the low flow and low water depth during ebb tide DO (mg/L) 3.05 Action taken / to be taken: Immediate repeated in-situ measurements had conducted to confirm the exceedances. No odour nuisance was noted during monitoring. Checking with contractor's works on 27 Oct, TS2 seawall construction was conducted on that day. Checking with contractor's inspection record, the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition on that day. Remarks / Other Obs: No further DO exceedance was recorded in the next consecutive monitoring. In view that the silt screen and silt curtain were in proper condition and no odour was detected during monitoring, the exceedance was considered not related to Project works. X 10D165 27-Oct-12 Mid-Ebb Ex-WPCWA SW DO (mg/L) 2.89 3.84 3.73 Possible reason: Action taken / to be taken: Repeated the measurement to confirm the result. No odour nuisance was noted during the DO monitoring. Checked with Contract works, there was no marine works undertaken at ex-WPCWA on 27 Oct 2012. Remarks / Other Obs: In view that there was no marine activities at ex-WPCWA, it was considered not related to Project works.